# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

Criminal No. 20-173

CHRISTOPHER WEST

- - - - -

Transcript of Proceedings held on February 1, 2021, via videoconference, before the Honorable Patricia L. Dodge, United States Magistrate Judge.

- - - - -

### APPEARANCES:

For the Government: U.S. Attorney's Office

by Shaun E. Sweeney, Esq.

For the Defendant: Frank Walker Law

by Frank C. Walker, Esq.

- - - - -

Court Reporter: Deborah Ann Betzler, RPR, FCRR

700 Grant Street

Suite 6260

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

- - - - -

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

#### PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

19

20

18

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: This honorable court is now in session. The Honorable Patricia L. Dodge now presiding for the United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. We're here today for a detention hearing in the matter of the United States vs. Christopher West at Docket No. 20-173. Would counsel enter their appearances, please.

MR. SWEENEY: Shaun Sweeney here on behalf of the government, Your Honor. Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. WALKER: Frank Walker on behalf of Mr. West.

THE COURT: Mr. Walker, as you know, with Mr. West's consent, Section 15002 of the CARES Act authorizes the Court to conduct certain initial criminal proceedings by videoconference. The finding and authorization of that section of the CARES Act are in effect in this judicial district. Have you had the chance to talk with Mr. West about whether he consents to proceeding here today by videoconference?

MR. WALKER: I have, Your Honor, and he does consent.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. I'll note that this matter comes before the Court on the request of the government to detain Mr. West pending the trial of this matter. In considering that request, I am guided by

certain general principles. First, at all times, Mr. West is entitled to a presumption of innocence. Nothing that takes place in this hearing or nothing that I set forth in my findings is intended or should be construed to affect that presumption. Rather, the purpose of this proceeding is to determine whether, notwithstanding that presumption of innocence, Mr. West should be detained pending trial.

I also want to note that I'm guided by the principles of the Bail Reform Act, in which I must consider whether there are conditions or a combination of conditions that will reasonably assure Mr. West's appearance and reasonably assure the safety of others in the community. The Act requires that I impose the least restrictive conditions that are necessary to provide those reasonable assurances. If I cannot find any conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of the community or the appearance of Mr. West as required, then I am required to hold that he continue to be held in custody.

With that, Mr. Sweeney, are you prepared to proceed?

MR. SWEENEY: I am, Your Honor, and I don't have anything beyond what is set forth in the pretrial services report. I don't intend to offer any evidence or any witnesses. I would just like to have the opportunity at the appropriate time to make argument.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine, Mr. Sweeney.

Then, Mr. Walker, let me turn to you. Do you have any

evidence to present during this proceeding today?

MR. WALKER: Yes, Your Honor. The defense would call Michelle Lefevre, and I don't believe she has -- she may be in the waiting room.

THE COURT: I don't believe she has joined us, Mr. Walker.

MR. WALKER: Okay.

THE COURT: Ms. Eckenrode is indicating that she's not in the waiting room. So we can certainly provide a few minutes for her to appear, but what I would suggest -- I don't want to hold anyone up, but I certainly want to give you the opportunity to have her appear. Would you like to try and reach out to her and see if she's having some technical difficulty joining us? And we can take a five-minute recess for you to be able to do that.

MR. WALKER: Yes, Your Honor. I'd appreciate that. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. She can participate by telephone if she is unable to connect with the video. So why don't you check with her. We'll take a short recess. And when you've been able to reach her, let Ms. Eckenrode know, and then we'll proceed. All right?

MR. WALKER: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

THE CLERK: Court is in recess.

1 (Brief recess was taken.) 2 THE CLERK: Court is back in session. 3 THE COURT: We're back on the record. We've taken a 4 short break. It looks like, Mr. Walker, your witness is now 5 with us, so you may proceed. 6 MR. WALKER: Thank you. Ma'am, can you unmute 7 yourself. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. 9 THE COURT: Before she testifies, we'll need to have her placed under oath, Mr. Walker. 10 11 (Witness sworn.) 12 THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell it for 13 the court reporter. 14 THE WITNESS: Michelle Lefevre. It's 15 M-i-c-h-e-l-l-e. Lefevre is L as in Lima, E as in Echo, F as in Foxtrot, E as in Echo, V as in Victory, R as in Romeo, and 16 E as in Echo. 17 THE COURT: All right. You can proceed, Mr. Walker. 18 19 MR. WALKER: Thank you. 20 MICHELLE LEFEVRE having been duly cautioned and sworn, was examined and 21 22 testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. WALKER:

Q. Ma'am, do you know Mr. West?

M. Lefevre - Direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. How do you know him?
- 3 A. I know him from my previous employment a couple of years
- 4 **ago.**
- Q. And you understand that we are here for a bond hearing, do
- 6 you not?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. If released, do you permit Mr. West to stay with you --
- 9 A. I do.
- 10  $\blacksquare$  Q. -- pending trial?
- Okay. Do you know the charges he is facing?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And who lives in your household? Without giving any
- 14 names, who lives in your household?
- 15 A. My child on the weekends. So mainly just me, but my
- 16 child, too.
- Q. And without giving an exact address, what part of town do
- 18 you live in?
- 19 A. I live in Baldwin.
- 20 Q. And what type of home is it?
- 21 A. It is one of those residential apartment complexes.
- 22 | Q. If asked, will you have a home line installed if Mr. West
- 23 needs a home monitoring system?
- 24 A. I will.
- 25 Q. If asked, will you agree to sign on as a guarantor that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your meeting him?

7

M. Lefevre - Cross Mr. West will appear and abide by all of his bond conditions? I will. I do. Q. Are you aware that the federal case he is currently facing could possibly take an additional six months -- six to eight months before proceeding to trial? Are you aware of that? A. Yes, I am. Q. Knowing that, are there any concerns about Mr. West staying with you pending trial? A. Not at all. MR. WALKER: I have no further questions, offer for cross. THE COURT: Mr. Sweeney, any questions? MR. SWEENEY: Yeah. Just a few, Your Honor. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SWEENEY: Q. Miss Lefevre, you said that -- did I pronounce your name correctly, Lefevre? A. You actually did. I was going to comment, you did it perfectly. Thanks. Miss Lefevre, you said you've known Mr. West for how long? A. It's been since 2019 I met him.

Q. Okay. And I saw that -- what were the circumstances of

M. Lefevre - Cross

1 A. Mutual friends, I met him. I worked --

- Q. You said it was in connection with your employment?
- A. Yeah. I worked at Tilden. It was a bar, after-hours club.
- 5 Q. And that's where you met him?
- 6 A. Yeah, through mutual friends.
- Q. And I think the pretrial services report indicated that
  you may describe yourself as being his best friend since 2019?
- 9 A. Yes.

2

10

- Q. Or he described you as that?
- 11 A. Yeah. We became very, very close. So, yes, he is -- he's

  12 my brother. I consider him family, honestly, besides my son.
- 13 Q. Has he ever lived with you previously?
- A. No. We just spent a lot -- especially during this COVID stuff, we spent a lot of time together. But, no, he's never lived with me before.
- Q. And was he living with you at the time that he was arrested in connection with these charges?
- 19 A. No, not at all.
- Q. And when you say you spent a lot of time with him, how are you able to spend time with him?
- A. I mean, I'd pick him up. Like we went hiking with me and
  my son. You know what I mean? We'd hang out. You know, I go
  to the gym, so we'd do workouts, too, sometimes. I'm a
  bodybuilder. I mean, I just hung out with him, talked, wrote

M. Lefevre - Cross

9

1 music, stuff like that, studio.

- Q. And so you say that -- you describe him more as like being a brother to you, not a romantic relationship with him?
- A. No. He is -- actually, I tell my son he's his uncle. He loves Hush. Or Chris. I'm sorry about that. But, yes,
- 6 Christopher West.
  - Q. How old is your son?
  - A. He's 11.

2

3

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. Are you familiar with Mr. West's criminal history and background, his prior convictions?
- 11 A. Yes, somewhat. I mean, I've never really divulged myself
  12 into asking details, because it's not one of those things
  13 that -- you know. But, yes, I am aware.
  - Q. Could you explain to us a little bit about what you know in terms of what his prior convictions have been.
  - A. I know that there was a few misdemeanors and stuff. I mean, like I said, I don't know the extent of it. I know there was something in New York he has going on, a couple things here. Like I said, I've never really pried or just gone all the way to say, "What did you get charged" -- you know what I mean? It's not one of those things I was curious enough to find out about, honestly.
    - Q. Well, if you have an 11-year-old son that stays with you, don't you agree that it would be important for you to know what his prior criminal convictions were?

- A. Well, I mean, at this point, yes. But then, it wasn't one of those things I was like, "Did you get arrested before?"

  You know what I mean? It wasn't something that I divulged myself into. If it's something that he proactively wanted to go in-depth with, he can tell me. It's not one of those things that I would be like, "Yeah, so what did you do?" I knew it wasn't anything very serious, because it wasn't like he did an astronomical amount of time. He's out. You know what I mean?
- Q. Did you know he has a prior conviction for involuntary manslaughter?
- A. I did somewhat hear about that, but I heard that -- wasn't that something that wasn't -- like it got dismissed? I know a lot of those charges got dismissed, correct?
- Q. I'm just asking. I can't answer questions.
- A. I'm just saying, from what I heard, like those things got dismissed. It wasn't something that he really got --
  - Q. Were you familiar with the fact that he had a prior conviction for aggravated assault?
  - A. Yes, a little bit. But, I mean, I thought it was just little things here and there, like little fights possibly. You know what I mean? I didn't think it was anything that serious, at least from what I know of, from what I'm aware of, being honest with you.
  - Q. What is your understanding regarding what he's charged

with in connection with the pending robbery case in the Court 1 2 of Common Pleas of Allegheny County? 3 I don't. Α. Q. You don't know what that case is about? 4 5 The robbery case? No, I don't know any -- no, I don't 6 know about a robbery case. Like I said, I know little things 7 here and there, nothing fully in-depth because I never really 8 asked for details about things. You know what I mean? I knew 9 he got charged here and there with things. It wasn't something that I really divulged myself into finding out. 10 11 Q. Do you know that he's charged with -- I'm not asking you 12 to talk to us about any admissions he may have made to you. That's not the purpose of this question. What I'm trying to 13 14 get at is: How familiar are you with the man whom you are 15 willing to have stay at your house with an 11-year-old son? And what I want to you ask you is: Are you familiar with the 16 17 fact that he has been charged with aggravated assault and a 18 robbery on a cameraman in connection with the riots this past 19 summer? 20 I didn't know it was in that depth. No, I didn't know it 21 was a robbery. I mean, I heard it was something about

A. I didn't know it was in that depth. No, I didn't know it was a robbery. I mean, I heard it was something about destroying a camera is what he was allegedly accused of. But, like I said, I knew it was part of the Black Lives Matter parade. I don't personally divulge myself in getting into riots and stuff like that, so I want no part of it.

22

23

24

But, yeah, I mean, I know kind of what he's getting charged with right now is the whole destruction of private property, correct? Isn't that what it was, like the whole cameraman thing? That's what I know. The robbery part, no, I had no idea about a robbery.

- Q. Would it be accurate to say that regardless of what these pending charges are, regardless of what they are, you're still willing to have him stay at your place?
- A. I am, because I know him as a person. He is a good person. People make mistakes in their past; and as long as they're willing to redeem themselves -- which I do fully believe that my brother is at this point in time -- I'm willing to help him. So at the moment that I feel like it's not working, guess what? His probation officer, parole officer -- I don't know who I call, but I will call them. And guess what? He will go back to where he came from, because I'm not having that around my child. You are absolutely correct.

The reason I'm doing this is because I do trust him, I love him. He's always been there for me this almost what?

Two years that I've known him. My son looks up to him musically and respects him as a man. You know what I mean? He doesn't know his history in that way. He knows him as a person, and I love him as a person, and I'm going to help him if I can. Because if I were in his position, I would hope he

- 1 would do the same for me and trust me enough to do that.
- 2 So -- sorry.
- Q. Do you know when he got arrested in connection with the
- 4 charges from this summer?
- 5 A. Excuse me?
- Q. Do you know when he got arrested, when he went into
- 7 custody, approximately?
- 8 A. Wasn't it around August or something, like -- yeah, it was
- 9 somewhere around there. Like his girlfriend called me.
- 10 | Q. So you had known him for less than a year before he went
- 11 to jail on these charges?
- 12 A. I did. But, like I said, this COVID stuff, it kind of
- 13 keeps you secluded. We spent a lot of time together. I mean,
- 14 I still worked at the airline, but I had a lot more time.
- 15 | Q. What do you do now for a living?
- 16 A. Currently, I am a dancer. I got laid off from my
- 17 restaurant job that was a fine dining restaurant job,
- 18 actually, this past winter. So I do dance, though.
- 19 Q. Where are you a dancer?
- 20 A. It is an agency. It's a private agency, so we do bachelor
- 21 parties. So I'm an entertainer. We do bachelor parties,
- 22 | birthdays, stuff like that.
- 23 Q. I assume that you work nights, then, not during the day
- 24 usually?
- 25 A. Yeah, and I only work three days a week. So it's like

- three to four days a week. Four days is a rarity, but it's three days a week I work, yes.
  - Q. So when are you gone from the house?
  - A. 6 p.m. to 4 a.m.
  - Q. 6 p.m. to 4 a.m.? And that's three times a week?
- 6 A. Yes.

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. So Mr. West would be on his own, basically, during those timeframes?
- A. I mean, yeah. I won't be home, so, yes, he would be. But doesn't he have monitoring, as well? Just to confirm, though, he's going to have like a monitoring system?
  - Q. That might be up to pretrial services and the Court.
  - A. Okay. I didn't know if that was --
  - Q. So if you're saying -- Miss Lefevre, if you're saying you're working three nights a week 6 p.m. to 4 a.m., what nights?
    - A. I usually work -- it's Friday nights, I work -- well, this week -- it varies, honestly. Like this week I worked

      Wednesday to Saturday because a girl is in surgery right now.

      You know what I mean? But generally it's Monday, Wednesday and Fridays is what I work. But, like I said, it varies on
- what's needed, too, when I'm available.

  23 Q. When do you get custody of your son? How often will your
- 24 son be there?
- 25 A. I get him every weekend. So from Friday -- I pick him up

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Friday, and his dad picks him up Sunday. And if he has Monday off, I'll keep him. You know what I mean? If he has other 3 days off, I'll keep him later -- or longer.

- Q. So if you're working Friday night, Mr. West would be home alone with your son?
- A. I mean, he could be. If he shouldn't be, I could have somebody here, because my son does have a babysitter, as well. So I was planning on him being here with Chris; but, I mean, if he needs watched, I can probably finagle something.
- Q. Have you talked to your son's father about the fact that Mr. West may be staying there at your residence while your son is there?
- A. I mean, I can; but my son's father is currently, as well, on probation. So I don't think he'd have an issue with it, honestly. But I will inform him ahead of time once all of this goes through, of course. Like I said, he is -- yeah. So I don't think he'll discriminate about him being here with Chris. Let's just say that.

MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, that's all the questions I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Walker, is there anything further that you would like to ask?

MR. WALKER: Yes.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. WALKER:

Q. Miss Lefevre, you mentioned that if he messes up -- he being Mr. West -- that you would call probation immediately. Can you give me instances where you think that would become necessary?

A. I mean, I don't see him doing anything wrong. You know what I mean? I don't see it being an issue or something that will have to happen. But, I mean, if I see him doing anything illegal, if I see him drinking, if I seem him doing anything he's not supposed to be doing -- on that paper that shows me what he's supposed to be doing, not supposed to be doing -- there's going to be a phone call.

And we've discussed how strict I'm going to be about this; because, like, as mentioned before, I do have an 11-year-old child that lives with me. And I'm not risking losing my son over this, either, but I am willing to help somebody I consider my brother. So that's what I'm doing now.

MR. WALKER: No further questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Sweeney, anything further?

MR. SWEENEY: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Miss Lefevre, we certainly appreciate your testimony. You're excused as a witness, but you may continue to watch the proceeding if you would like to. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you so much for your time.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Walker, any other evidence that you wish to present before we turn to oral argument?

MR. WALKER: No, Your Honor. Just argument.

THE COURT: All right. Then, Mr. Sweeney, feel free to proceed.

MR. SWEENEY: Thank you, Your Honor. If you look at the pretrial services report relating to Mr. West's background and history, it's pretty clear that he has not stopped having run-ins with the law since age 14. He's going to be 36 in a couple weeks. His issues with the criminal justice system started at age 14, and then in 2004 he had an involuntary manslaughter, an aggravated assault conviction; 2011, resisting arrest and providing false identification to police officers; 2016, simple assault and harassment.

And now in 2020 he's charged with robbery, riot, recklessly endangering another person, and also charged with conspiracy to commit arson of a police vehicle. Your Honor, he has essentially ticked off convictions or at least charges for virtually every type of violent crime, simple assault, aggravated assault, recklessly endangering another person, resisting arrest, robbery, arson.

Judge, all of these crimes, I think, clearly demonstrate that Mr. West, unfortunately, has a propensity for violence.

Normally when you look at a criminal record, you see somebody who has a bunch of DUIs or somebody who has a bunch of possession of paraphernalia or retail thefts or access device frauds. He has ticked off every type of violent offense in his history, most recently in 2020.

Now, he also has some failures to appear, with respect to his risk of flight. And when you look at the pretrial services report, they're recommending detention, I believe on both bases, risk of flight and danger to the community. Now, I get Ms. Lefevre in good faith is trying to help out somebody that she cares about. I understand wanting to give a second chance to somebody that she's grown close with. I have no reason to believe that she's making any type of false representations or trying to mislead the Court at all. I think her heart is in the right place.

But, Judge, when you have a situation where you have a man with Mr. West's past involving failures to appear, having charges brought against him where it's at least supported by probable cause, serious charges like burning a police car while he's on probation, it's clear that Mr. West has trouble complying with court orders and court supervision. And the situation that we're talking about here would be allowing Mr. West to stay at a residence where he would at times be home alone with an 11-year-old boy while the mom is out working.

I don't think it's a suitable release plan, and I believe that the government has satisfied its burden that Mr. West is both a danger to the community and a risk of flight to the point that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that would be able to reasonably assure his appearance in court and the safety of the community.

THE COURT: Mr. Sweeney, would you very briefly just review the pending charges against Mr. West in this matter.

MR. SWEENEY: Yes, Your Honor. He is charged with conspiracy to commit a violation of Title 18,
Section 844(f)(1), which is the federal arson statute involving burning of property that is owned by an organization receiving federal funds. In particular, in this case he's charged with aiding and abetting and conspiring to burn a marked police vehicle during the riots on May 30, 2020. And he is also charged with unlawful interference and obstructing law enforcement officers stemming from that same thing. That is by setting fire to a police vehicle.

Down the street in the Court of Common Pleas he's charged with robbery, and I believe that's in connection with him allegedly taking the camera off a KDKA cameraman who was filming the riots and the burning of the police vehicle; and he's charged with the offense of riot down the street and recklessly endangering another person, I believe. Those are the charges that are pending against him right now, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr. Sweeney.

Mr. Walker, I'm happy to hear from you, as well.

MR. WALKER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, it's not typical that I am applauding a U.S. Attorney on a cross-examination of one of my witnesses, but Mr. Sweeney did a thorough job of cross-examining the witness to establish any prejudice or anything whatsoever. But in his cross-examination, Miss Lefevre, she passed all the threshold standards.

She stated that, "Yes, he can stay with me; yes, if he messes up, I will call the probation officer, I will do everything I need to do to make sure he is on the right track." It's not often that witnesses attest to that, and I'm glad she was able to state that. She is a suitable person as a third-party guarantor. He has a suitable home plan. She established that she knows him, she has a relationship with him; her son knows him, has a relationship with him; her son knows him, has a relationship with him; and she is willing to report him if he messes up. I believe we've rebutted the presumption because of the third-party guarantor and the fact that he has a place to stay.

But the presumption of innocence is the prevailing thing here. Under 3742, those are the factors that have to be considered. The presumption of innocence and these factors

for the charges he's facing -- he's charged with conspiring to burn a police vehicle pursuant to protests and/or riots, and in the same factor he's charged with taking the camera from the cameraman who was filming the burning of the police car.

So obviously there is some factual determinations that will be made at a later date, but at this juncture the two issues are whether or not he is a danger to society and whether or not he is a flight risk. I don't believe he is a flight risk because there are conditions or a combination of conditions that can rebut that issue with home incarceration, electronic GPS, and he has a place to stay.

Now, the second issue would be danger to society.

Mr. Sweeney has brought out his history. All of those factors have been taken into consideration and factored into his prior record score for those offenses. He has paid his costs and his debt to society for those offenses in the past. The court in that jurisdiction sentenced him for those crimes in the past. He did probation, parole, whatever he has needed to do in the past for those conditions.

Does that establish a heightened risk of safety for this crime? I would say no, because he's not charged with a violent involuntary manslaughter or a manslaughter or a homicide or an aggravated assault in this case right here before the Court. This is an issue of conspiracy for a violation of the Federal Crimes Act with vandalism to a car

and conspiracy for the destruction of the car.

Your Honor, this isn't, in my eyes, a violent crime for which Mr. Sweeney attests and tries to tie it back to the previous crimes for which he's paid his debt to society. I believe he has rebutted the presumption, and I believe there is a condition or combination of conditions that would assure the Court that Mr. West would not be a danger to society and would show up for court, Your Honor. So I'm asking for him to be released on those conditions, which would assure those — which meet those conditions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Walker.

Mr. Sweeney, anything further?

MR. SWEENEY: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then I'd like to turn now to my findings and conclusions. In this case the government is seeking detention on multiple grounds and claims that it is entitled to a hearing, as we're having now, based upon the following: First, that Mr. West has been charged with a crime of violence.

Secondly, that there is a serious risk that he will flee and that there is a serious risk that he will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. There is no rebuttable presumption -- this is not a presumption case, so I'm not going to address that factor.

I will, however, address the specific factors that the

Bail Reform Act requires me to consider. First, the nature and circumstances of the alleged offenses. In this case, the offenses, all of which occurred on May 30th of 2020, are conspiracy, the malicious destruction or damage by fire of a police vehicle and obstruction of law enforcement during a civil disorder. Those, in the Court's view, looking at the nature and circumstances of a civil disorder, the destruction of a law enforcement vehicle, I view as a serious offense.

Based upon what has been told to me during this hearing, it appears that it was during a civil disorder that led to some violence, and there are other charges pending for which Mr. West has been charged, although I certainly understand that with respect to all of these charges, there is a presumption of innocence. However, I do view the nature and the circumstances as serious.

Looking at the weight of the evidence, I am primarily guided here by the fact that an indictment was issued against Mr. West which reflects that there was probable cause for the Grand Jury to return a three-count indictment against him.

I also want to turn to the third factor, which is the history and characteristics of Mr. West. And in that regard, I'm relying, in part, on the pretrial services report and would like to review some of the information within that report. Mr. West is a single 36-year-old male who apparently

has been a resident of the Western District for about nine years. He was unemployed at the time of his arrest last summer, and I will note that there appears to be a very spotty history of any employment on his part.

I've reviewed the criminal history of Mr. West. While I won't go into the juvenile offenses, as Mr. Sweeney pointed out, those did begin at a relatively early age. But just looking for a moment at the charges for which he either pled or was found guilty since he became an adult, there are a number of them that include aggravated assault and involuntary manslaughter, resisting arrest and providing false identification to a police officer, assault, possession of a controlled substance and, most recently, not only the current charges but the charges that are pending in state court, that being robbery and riot, again from the same date, and criminal mischief and vandalism.

I'll note that there have been at least, by my count, three bench warrants issued, one that is still pending in another jurisdiction; and with respect to a 2019 charge of possession of a controlled substance, two bench warrants that were issued. It also appears that Mr. West was on probation during the present offenses, both in federal and state court.

That essentially summarizes the criminal history, and I take that criminal history and the nature of the offenses very much to bear in connection with my decision. I've also looked

at the nature and seriousness of danger to the community or to others and note that many of the offenses charged were violent in nature, including robbery, assault, aggravated assault, resisting arrest and so forth.

I will note that I appreciate Ms. Lefevre's appearance here today and her willingness to serve as a third-party custodian. I have no doubt that she approaches this position with the requisite seriousness and certainly is interested in serving in that role and doing her best.

But I've also reviewed the recommendation of pretrial services. I'll note they note both the risk of nonappearance, including three prior failures to appear, the fact that Mr. West was on probation when this offense occurred, the two other pending cases and the fact that he is not employed and has not been employed in any ongoing way. With respect to danger to the community, the pretrial services report indicates -- and I agree -- that there were multiple crimes of violence, that there was criminal activity while he was under supervision.

And so, again, while I appreciate Ms. Lefevre's willingness to assist the court, her appearance here today, based upon the evidence, based upon the nature of the offenses, the prior criminal history and other matters that I raised regarding the matters that I'm required to consider, based upon all of this, I find that by clear and convincing

evidence there are no conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of others and by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no condition that will reasonably assure Mr. West's appearance.

Therefore, based upon the forgoing, I am ordering
Mr. West to continue to be detained pending the trial of
this matter. Again, I appreciate Ms. Lefevre's willingness
to serve as a third-party custodian but find that that would
not provide the reasonable assurances that are necessary for
me to order release.

Is there any other matter that counsel wish to address during this proceeding?

MR. SWEENEY: Nothing from the government.

MR. WALKER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thanks to all for your attendance. I certainly appreciate your arguments and matters that you presented. It was very helpful to me. And with that, we are concluded.

THE CLERK: Court is adjourned.

(The above-captioned matter was concluded.)

- - - - -

I N D E X WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS Michelle Lefevre 5 7 16 --C E R T I F I C A T EI, DEBORAH ANN BETZLER, RPR, FCRR, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled case. s\ Deborah Ann Betzler 02/28/2021
DEBORAH ANN BETZLER, RPR, FCRR Date of Certification Official Court Reporter